

THE OBESITY MEDICINE ASSOCIATION'S GUIDE TO OBESITY CLASSIFICATION'

For more educational resources about obesity, visit obesitymedicine.org

BODY MASS INDEX		PERCENT BODY FAT					
Classification (kg/m²)†:	ØÇ	Classification [†] :	ð	Q	Classification ⁺ :	ð	Q
NORMAL WEIGHT OVERWEIGHT CLASS I OBESITY	18.5-24.9 25.0-29.9 30.0-34.9	ESSENTIAL FAT ATHLETES FITNESS	2-5% 6-13% 14-17%	10-13% 14-20% 21-24%	ABDOMINAL OBESITY	≥40 inches ≥102 centimeters	≥35 inches ≥88 centimeters
CLASS II OBESITY CLASS III OBESITY	35.0-39.9 ≥40		≥25%	≥32%			
 Body mass index (BMI) is measured by taking the weight in kilograms divided by the height in meters squared. Advantages Increased BMI generally correlates with metabolic and fat mass diseases in population studies 		Percent body fat is measured by taking the total mass of fat divided by the total body mass. There are a number of measurement techniques, including bioimpedance and DEXA scans. Advantages			 Waist circumference is measured at the abdomen, usually at the smallest circumference of the natural waist, just above the belly button. Advantages Well correlated to metabolic disease Direct cortexisted measure of a direct. 		

- Commonly used
- Reasonably reproducible
- Low cost
- Adequate measure for epidemiological studies
- Adequate screening metric for most patients

Disadvantages

- May not correlate with metabolic and fat mass diseases in an individual patient
- Does not account for muscle mass
- BMI cut-off points do not distinguish between men and women, nor ethnic and racial considerations
- Should be used as part of the clinical evaluation and not as the sole measure of obesity for all patients

versus men, those of different races, and certain individuals References: [1] [2] [3] [6] [7] [8]

- More specific assessment of body fat
- May be a reasonable longitudinal measure in patients adhering to resistance

Disadvantages

- Some measures are not always accurate, nor easily reproducible (e.g., single site skinfold calipers)
- Electronic/machine body fat measures may be expensive
- Cut-off points not as validated to correlate to metabolic disease, compared with waist circumference

- Low cost

Disadvantages

- clinically superior to BMI in correlating to metabolic disease, especially at
- Racial/ethnic differences

WHICH METHOD IS THE "BEST" MEASURE OF OBESITY?

POPULATION ASSESSMENT

• Body mass index (BMI), percent body fat, and waist circumference similarly correlate with prevalence of metabolic syndrome

INDIVIDUAL ASSESSMENT

- BMI is a reasonable initial screening measurement for most patients
- Percent body fat may be useful in patients with extremes in muscle mass (e.g., individuals with sarcopenia or substantial increases in muscle mass), and thus may be a more accurate measure of body composition when assessing the efficacy of interventions directed
- Waist circumference provides additional information regarding adipose tissue function and dysfunction and predisposition to metabolic disease among individuals with BMI <35 kg/m²

References

[1] Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Loria CM, Ard JD, Millen BE, Comuzzie AG, Nonas CA, Donato KA, Pi-Sunyer FX, Hu FB, Stevens J, Hubbard VS, Stevens VJ, Jakicic JM, Wadden TA, Kushner RF, Wolfe BM, Yanovski SZ: 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013.

[2] Rahman M, Berenson AB: Accuracy of current body mass index obesity classification for white, black, and Hispanic reproductive-age women. Obstet Cynecol 2010 115:982-988.
[3] Misra A, Shrivastava U: Obesity and dyslipidemia in South Asians. Nutrients 2013 5:2708-2733.

[4] Jacobson TA IM, Maki KC, Orringer CE, Bays HE, Jones PH, McKenney JM, Grundy SM, Gill EA, Wild RA, Wilson DP, Brown WV: National Lipid Association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: Part 1 – executive summary. J Clin

[5] Bays H: Central obesity as a clinical marker of adiposopathy; increased visceral adiposity as a surrogate marker for global fat dysfunction. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2014 21:345-351.
[6] Carroll JF, Chiapa AL, Rodriquez M, Phelps DR, Cardarelli KM, Vishwanatha JK, Bae S, Cardarelli R: Visceral fat, waist

umference, and BMI: impact of race/ethnicity. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2008 16:600-607.

[7] Wang Z, Ma J, Si D: Optimal cut-off values and population means of waist circumference in different populations. Nutr Res Rev

[8] Kushner RF, Blatner DJ: Risk assessment of the overweight and obese patient. J Am Diet Assoc 2005 105:S53-62 [9] American Council on Exercise: Percent Body Fat: http://www.acefitness.org/acefit/healthy_living_tools_content.aspx?id=2.

